Analysing 10X Single Cell RNA-Seq Data

v2021-11

Simon Andrews
simon.andrews@babraham.ac.uk

Babraham Bioinformatics

Course Outline

- How 10X single cell RNA-Seq works
- Evaluating CellRanger QC
  - [Exercise] Looking at CellRanger QC reports
- Dimensionality Reduction (PCA, tSNE, UMAP)
  - [Exercise] Using the Loupe cell browser
- R Frameworks for scRNA analysis
  - [Exercise] Analysing data in R using Seurat

How 10X RNA-Seq Works



How 10X RNA-Seq Works



How 10X RNA-Seq Works



How 10X RNA-Seq Works



Sample level barcode – same for all cells and RNAs in a library

Cell level barcode (16bp) – same for all RNAs in a cell

UMI (10bp) – unique for one RNA in one cell

10X Produces Barcode Counts



UMIs are finally related to genes to get per-gene counts

Extension Techniques

- Variants of the basic protocol which allow for other measures
- Introduce artificial sequences which are measured alongside the normal RNAs
  - Cell Surface Markers
  - CRISPR guide RNAs



- Beads use custom captures (in addition to TTTT)
- Attach sequences to sgRNA or tag to antibodies

The 10X Software Suite

Chromium Controller

Runs the chromium system for creating GEMs

Cell Ranger

Pipeline for mapping, filtering, QC and quantitation of libraries

Loupe Browser

Desktop software for visualisation and analysis of single cell data.

Cell Ranger

- Barcode Extraction and filtering
  - Identifies cell level barcodes
- Mapping to reference
  - Uses STAR aligner
- Generate count table
  - UMIs per gene in each cell
- Dimensionality Reduction
  - PCA and tSNE
- Clustering
  - K-means and Graph Based

CellRanger Commands

```
scrALI001_S1_L001_I1_001.fastq.gz
scrALI001_S1_L001_R1_001.fastq.gz
scrALI001_S1_L001_R2_001.fastq.gz
```



- I1
  - Index file. Sets of 4 barcodes per sample
- R1
  - Barcode reads
    - 16bp cell level barcode
    - 10bp UMI
- R2
  - 3’ RNA-seq read

CellRanger Commands

- CellRanger Count (quantitates a single run)

```
$ cellranger count --id=COURSE \
                   --transcriptome=/bi/apps/cellranger/references/GRCh38/ \
                   --fastqs=/bi/home/andrewss/10X/ \
                   --localcores=8 \
                   --localmem=32
```

- CellRanger aggr (merges multiple runs)

```
$ cellranger aggr --id=MERGED \
                  --csv=merge_me.csv \
                  --normalize=mapped
```

CellRanger Aggregate CSV file

Required

Optional

library_id	molecule_h5	sex	genotype
WT1	/data/WT1/outs/molecule_info.h5	Male	WT
WT2	/data/WT2/outs/molecule_info.h5	Female	WT
WT3	/data/WT3/outs/molecule_info.h5	Male	WT
WT4	/data/WT4/outs/molecule_info.h5	Female	WT
KO1	/data/KO1/outs/molecule_info.h5	Male	KO
KO2	/data/KO2/outs/molecule_info.h5	Female	KO
KO3	/data/KO3/outs/molecule_info.h5	Male	KO
KO4	/data/KO4/outs/molecule_info.h5	Female	KO

Output files generated

- `web_summary.html` - Web format QC report
- `filtered_feature_bc_matrix.h5` Single file of cell counts
- `filtered_features_bc_matrix`
  - `barcodes.tsv.gz` - cell level barcodes seen in this sample
  - `features.tsv.gz` - list of quantitated features (usually Ensembl genes)
  - `matrix.mtx.gz` - (sparse) matrix of counts for cells and features
- `possorted_genome_bam.bam` BAM file of mapped reads
- `molecule_info.h5` Details of the cell barcodes – used for merging, can also use for analysis
- `cloupe.cloupe` Analysis data for Loupe Cell browser

Evaluating CellRanger Output

- Look at barcode splitting report
  - Check sample level barcodes

- Look at `web_summary.html` file
  - Check number of cells
  - Check quality of data
  - Check coverage per cell
  - Check library diversity

Sample Level Barcodes

- Only present if multiple libraries mixed in a lane
- Get standard barcode split report, but with 4 barcodes used per sample
- Even coverage within and between libraries



CellRanger Reports

- HTML report – comes with each sample and aggregated group of samples

- Gives some basic metrics to judge the quality of the samples and spot any issues in the data or processing

Estimated Number of Cells

15,894

Mean Reads per Cell	Median Genes per Cell
11,380	2,174

Sequencing

Number of Reads	180,878,636
Valid Barcodes	98.1%
Sequencing Saturation	10.3%
Q30 Bases in Barcode	98.4%
Q30 Bases in RNA Read	82.7%
Q30 Bases in UMI	98.7%

Mapping

Reads Mapped to Genome	95.4%
Reads Mapped Confidently to Genome	90.2%
Reads Mapped Confidently to Intergenic Regions	3.0%
Reads Mapped Confidently to Intronic Regions	12.8%
Reads Mapped Confidently to Exonic Regions	74.4%
Reads Mapped Confidently to Transcriptome	71.9%
Reads Mapped Antisense to Gene	0.9%

Cells



Estimated Number of Cells	15,894
Fraction Reads in Cells	88.1%
Mean Reads per Cell	11,380
Median Genes per Cell	2,174
Total Genes Detected	20,185
Median UMI Counts per Cell	5,742

Sample

Name	embryoid_d4
Description	
Transcriptome	mm10
Chemistry	Single Cell 3' v3
Cell Ranger Version	3.0.2

Errors and Warnings

The analysis detected some serious issues with your sequencing run. Details »

The analysis detected some issues with your sequencing run. Details »

Alert	Value	Detail
⚠ Low Fraction Reads Confidently Mapped To Transcriptome	51.5%	Ideal > 60%. This can indicate use of the wrong reference transcriptome, poor library quality, or poor sequencing quality. Application performance may be affected.

Alerts

The analysis detected ⊗ 2 errors.

	Alert	Value	Detail
⊗	Low Fraction Reads Confidently Mapped To Transcriptome	19.6%	Ideal > 30%. This can indicate use of the wrong reference transcriptome, a reference transcriptome with overlapping genes, poor library quality, poor sequencing quality, or reads shorter than the recommended minimum. Application performance may be affected.
⊗	Low Fraction Reads in Cells	48.8%	Ideal > 70%. Application performance may be affected. Many of the reads were not assigned to cell-associated barcodes. This could be caused by high levels of ambient RNA or by a significant population of cells with a low RNA content, which the algorithm did not call as cells. The latter case can be addressed by inspecting the data to determine the appropriate cell count and using --force-cells.

How many cells do you have?

- Cell number is determined from the number of cell barcodes with ‘reasonable’ numbers of observations
- Need to separate signal from background – real cell associated barcodes vs noise from empty GEMs and mis-called sequences
- Changing the thresholds used can give very different predictions for cell numbers

How many cells do you have?

- Start by looking at the quality of the base calls in the barcodes
- Bad calls will lead to inaccurate cell assignments

Sequencing

Number of Reads	180,878,636
Valid Barcodes	98.1%
Sequencing Saturation	10.3%
Q30 Bases in Barcode	98.4%
Q30 Bases in RNA Read	82.7%
Q30 Bases in UMI	98.7%



How many cells do you have?

- Start by looking at the quality of the base calls in the barcodes
- Bad calls will lead to inaccurate cell assignments

Estimated Number of Cells

15,894

Sequencing

Number of Reads	180,878,636
Valid Barcodes	98.1%
Sequencing Saturation	10.3%
Q30 Bases in Barcode	98.4%
Q30 Bases in RNA Read	82.7%
Q30 Bases in UMI	98.7%



How many cells do you have



- Plot of UMIs (reads) per cell vs number of cells
- Blue region was called as valid cells
- Grey region is considered noise
- Both axes are log scale!!!

How many cells do you have



5000 reads per cell. 10k cells

500 reads per cell. 15k cells

CellRanger v3 uses a liberal cutoff to define cells. This was designed to accommodate (normally cancer) samples where cells might have wildly different amounts of RNA. It will include large numbers of cells with small numbers of UMIs. If this doesn't apply to your sample then this will over-predict valid cells.

How much data do you have per cell?

Mean Reads per Cell	Median Genes per Cell
11,380	2,174

Mapping	
Reads Mapped to Genome	95.4%
Reads Mapped Confidently to Genome	90.2%
Reads Mapped Confidently to Intergenic Regions	3.0%
Reads Mapped Confidently to Intronic Regions	12.8%
Reads Mapped Confidently to Exonic Regions	74.4%
Reads Mapped Confidently to Transcriptome	71.9%
Reads Mapped Antisense to Gene	0.9%

Estimated Number of Cells	15,894
Fraction Reads in Cells	88.1%
Mean Reads per Cell	11,380
Median Genes per Cell	2,174
Total Genes Detected	20,185
Median UMI Counts per Cell	5,742

- Reads should map well
- Check reads are mostly in transcripts
- Means and medians can be misleading when cells are variable
- Note difference between read and UMI

How much data do you have per cell?

- Some details about mapping
  - Reads should map to the 3’ end of transcripts (oligo dT selection)
  - Reads count as exonic if 50% of them overlaps an exon
  - Multi-mapped reads which only hit one exon are considered to be uniquely mapped
  - Reads associate with genes based on overlap and direction
  - Only confident (unique) transcriptome reads are used for analysis


Homo sapiens GRCh38 chr 11: 102960104-104331592 (1.3 Mbp)
gene
mRNA
SIGAH4
103,000,000
103,200,000
103,400,000
103,600,000
103,800,000
104,000,000
104,200,000


gene
mRNA
CDS

How much data do you have per cell?

- Difficult to generalise how much data to create/expect
  - Depends on cell type, genome and other factors

- In general though, sensible numbers would be:
  - Reads per cell ~10,000
  - Genes per cell 2000 – 3000

- Be aware of the difference between reads (raw) and UMIs (deduplicated) – they can be ***very*** different

How deeply sequenced is your library





How deeply sequenced is your library





How deeply sequenced is your library

- Expected diversity varies by cell type



Figure from 10X Genomics 2018

Is coverage variation affecting your data?





Aggregation QC

- Web Summary with added “Aggregation” section
- Aggregation ‘normalisation’ is done via sub-sampling to get even coverage
- Can be problematic if libraries are of different sizes (especially is one is really small)



Alerts

The analysis detected ⚠ 1 warning.

	Alert	Value	Detail
⚠	Low Post-Normalization Read Depth	47.2%	Ideal > 50%. There may be large differences in sequencing depth across the input libraries. Application performance may be affected.

Aggregation QC

Aggregation

Pre-Normalization Total Number of Reads	3,430,270,725
Post-Normalization Total Number of Reads	2,502,681,800
Pre-Normalization Mean Reads per Cell	75,074
Post-Normalization Mean Reads per Cell	54,773
Fraction of Reads Kept (Influenza_day1)	100.0%
Fraction of Reads Kept (Influenza_day3)	95.2%
Fraction of Reads Kept (Influenza_day6)	72.9%
Fraction of Reads Kept (Influenza_mock)	47.2%
Pre-Normalization Total Reads per Cell (Influenza_day1)	51,029
Pre-Normalization Total Reads per Cell (Influenza_day3)	50,856
Pre-Normalization Total Reads per Cell (Influenza_day6)	84,665
Pre-Normalization Total Reads per Cell (Influenza_mock)	128,146

Exercise – Evaluating CellRanger Reports

- Look at the selection of CellRanger reports to get an idea for the metrics they provide
  - Is the quality of the data good
  - How many cells are there
  - How much data per cell is there (both UMIs and Genes)
  - Is there any separation? Is it driven by amount of data?

- The data we're going to use for the rest of the day is in "`course_web_summary.html`", do you see any problems which would concern us with this data at this stage?

Course Data CellRanger QC

The analysis detected some issues. Details »

Alert	Value	Detail
⚠ **Low Fraction Reads Confidently Mapped To Transcriptome**	28.2%	Ideal > 30%. This can indicate use of the wrong reference transcriptome, a reference transcriptome with overlapping genes, poor library quality, poor sequencing quality, or reads shorter than the recommended minimum. Application performance may be affected.

Mapping

Reads Mapped to Genome	47.5%
Reads Mapped Confidently to Genome	46.1%
Reads Mapped Confidently to Intergenic Regions	2.0%
Reads Mapped Confidently to Intronic Regions	14.2%
Reads Mapped Confidently to Exonic Regions	29.9%
Reads Mapped Confidently to Transcriptome	28.2%
Reads Mapped Antisense to Gene	0.6%

← Actual Problem

← Value Reported

Course Data QC – Read1 (Barcodes)





Course Data QC – Read2 (RNA)