
RNA-Seq Analysis


Simon Andrews, Laura Biggins, Sarah Inglesfield simon.andrews@babraham.ac.uk v2023-02



RNA-Seq Libraries



Reference based RNA-Seq Analysis



Sequence Data Processing



Raw Sequence Quality Control



FastQ Format Data


```
@HWUSI-EAS611:34:6669YAAXX:1:1:5069:1159 1:N:0:
TCGATAATACCGTTTTTTTCCGTTTGATGTTGATACCATT
TTHTTHTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT
@HWUSI-EAS611:34:6669YAAXX:1:1:5243:1158 1:N:0:
TATCTGTAGATTTCACAGACTCAAATGTAAATATGCAGAG
DF=DBD<BBFGGGGGGGBD@GGGD4@CA3CGG>DDD:D,B
@HWUSI-EAS611:34:6669YAAXX:1:1:5266:1162 1:N:0:
GGAGGAAGTATCACTTCCTTGCCTGCCTCCTCTGGGGCCT
: GBGGGGGGGGDGGDEDGGDGGGGDHHDHGHHGBGG: GG
```

FastQC

- Base call quality
- Composition
- Duplication
- Contamination




QC: Base Call Quality




Read Position

QC: Composition



Read Position

QC: Duplication (blue trace)



Percentage


of library

Level of duplication


Adapters and Trimming



Library Structure




Trimming Adapters



Position in read (bp)

Trimming Quality




Poor quality data tends to be at the 3' end

Mapping to a reference



Mapping




RNA-Seq Mapping Software

HiSat2 (https://ccb.jhu.edu/software/hisat2/)

Star (http://code.google.com/p/rna-star/)

Tophat (http://tophat.cbcb.umd.edu/)

HiSat2 pipeline



Mapped Data QC



Mapping Statistics

```
Time loading forward index: 00:01:10

Time loading reference: 00:00:05

Multiseed full-index search: 00:20:47

24548251 reads; of these:

24548251 (100.00%) were paired; of these:

1472534 (6.00%) aligned concordantly 0 times

21491188 (87.55%) aligned concordantly exactly 1 time


1584529 (6.45%) aligned concordantly >1 times

94.00% overall alignment rate

Time searching: 00:20:52

Overall time: 00:22:02
```

Mapping Statistics



Exercise: RNA-Seq QC and Data Processing



Running programs in Linux

Open a shell (text based OS interface)

- Type the name of the program you want to run
 - Add on any options the program needs
 - Press return the program will run
 - When the program ends control will return to the shell

Run the next program!

Running programs

```
user@server:~$ ls
Desktop Documents Downloads examples.desktop
Music Pictures Public Templates Videos
user@server:~$
```

- Command prompt you can't enter a command unless you can see this
- The command we're going to run (ls in this case, to list files)
- The output of the command just text in this case

The structure of a unix command



Each option or section is separated by spaces. Options or files with spaces in must be put in quotes.

Command line switches

- Change the behaviour of the program
- Come in two flavours (each option often has both types available)
 - Minus plus single letter (eg -x -c -z)
 - Can be combined (eg -xcz)
 - Two minuses plus a word (eg --extract --gzip)
 - Can't be combined
- Some take an additional value
 - -f somfile.txt (specify a filename)
 - --width=30 (specify a value)

Specifying file paths



- Specify names from whichever directory you are currently in
 - If I'm in /home/simon
 - Data/big_data.fq.gz
 - is the same as /home/simon/Data/big data.fq.gz

- Move to the directory with the data and just use file names
 - -cd Data
 - -big data.fq.gz

Command line completion

 Most errors in commands are typing errors in either program names or file paths

 Shells (ie BASH) can help with this by offering to complete path names for you

 Command line completion is achieved by typing a partial path and then pressing the TAB key (to the left of Q)

Command line completion

List of files / folders:

 $T \quad [TAB] \rightarrow Templates$

Desktop

Documents

Downloads

Music

Public

Published

Templates

Videos

P $[TAB] \rightarrow Publ$

 $Do [TAB] \rightarrow [beep]$

Do [TAB] [TAB] → Documents Downloads

Doc[TAB] → Documents

You should ALWAYS use TAB completion to fill in paths for locations which exist so you can't make typing mistakes

(it obviously won't work for output files though)

Debugging Tips

- If anything (except the splice site extraction) completes almost immediately then it didn't work!
- Look for errors before asking for help. They will either be
 - The last piece of text before the program exited
 - The first piece of text produced after it started (followed by the help file)
- To see if a program is running go to another shell and look at the last file produced to see if it's growing
- Programs which are stuck can be cancelled with Control+C

Some useful commands

cd mydir

Change directory to mydir

ls -ltrh

List files in the current directory, show details and put the newest files at the bottom

less x.txt

View the x.txt text file

Return = down one line


Space = down one page

q = quit

Data Visualisation and Exploration




Viewing Mapped Data




- Reads over exons
- Reads over introns
- Reads in intergenic regions
- Strand specificity


SeqMonk RNA-Seq QC (good)




SeqMonk RNA-Seq QC (bad)




SeqMonk RNA-Seq QC (bad)




Look at poor QC samples




Duplication (again)







Duplication (good)



Duplication (moderate)



Duplication (bad)




Fixing Duplication?

- If duplication is biased (some genes more than others)
 - Can't be 'fixed' can still analyse but be cautious

- If it's unbiased (everything is duplicated)
 - Doesn't affect quantitation
 - Will affect statistics
 - Can estimate global level and correct raw counts

Quantitation



Simple Quantitation - Forget splicing


- Count read overlaps with exons of each gene
 - Consider library directionality
 - Simple
 - Gene level quantitation
 - Many programs
 - Seqmonk (graphical)
 - Feature Counts (subread)
 - BEDTools
 - HTSeq

Analysing Splicing

Systematic evaluation of differential splicing tools for RNA-seq studies 3

Arfa Mehmood, Asta Laiho, Mikko S Venäläinen, Aidan J McGlinchey, Ning Wang, Laura L Elo ™

Briefings in Bioinformatics, Volume 21, Issue 6, November 2020, Pages 2052–2065,





- Try to quantitate transcripts (cufflinks, RSEM, bitSeq)
- Quantitate exons and compare to gene (EdgeR, DEXSeq)
- Quantitate splicing events (rMATS, MAJIQ)

Normalisation: RPKM / FPKM / TPM


- RPKM (Reads per kilobase of transcript per million reads of library)
 - Corrects for total library coverage
 - Corrects for gene length
 - Comparable between different genes within the same dataset
- FPKM (Fragments per kilobase of transcript per million fragments of library)
 - Only relevant for paired end libraries
 - Pairs are not independent observation
 - Effectively halves raw counts
- TPM (transcripts per million)
 - Normalises to transcript copies instead of reads
 - Corrects for cases where the average transcript length differs between samples

Visualising Expression and Normalisation






Visualising Normalisation



Visualising Normalisation




Size Factor Normalisation

 Make an 'average' sample from the mean of expression for each gene across all samples


 For each sample calculate the distribution of differences between the data in that sample and the equivalent in the 'average' sample

Use the median of the difference distribution to normalise the data


Normalisation – Coverage Outliers




Normalisation – DNA Contamination



Normalisation – DNA Contamination




Normalisation – DNA Contamination



Exploratory Analyses


- Time to **understand** your data
 - Behaviour of raw data and annotation
 - Clustering of samples (PCA / tSNE etc)
 - Pairwise comparisons of samples and groups
 - Are expected effects present (eg KO)?
 - Can I validate other aspects of the samples (eg sex)
 - Can I see obvious changes?
 - Are the changes convincing?



Differential Expression Statistics




Differential Expression



DE-Seq2 binomial Stats

- Are the counts we see for gene X in condition 1 consistent with those for gene X in condition 2?
- Size factors
 - Estimator of library sampling depth
 - More stable measure than total coverage
 - Based on median ratio between conditions
- Variance required for Negative Binomial distribution
 - Insufficient observations to allow direct measure
 - Custom variance distribution fitted to real data
 - Smooth distribution assumed to allow fitting


Dispersion shrinkage



mean of normalized counts

- Plot observed per gene dispersion
- Calculate average dispersion for genes with similar observation
- Individual dispersions regressed towards the mean. Weighted by
 - Distance from mean
 - Number of observations
- Points more than 2SD above the mean are not regressed

Visualising Differential Expression Results



5x5 Replicates

8,022 out of 18,570 genes (43%) identified as DE using DESeq (p<0.05)


Needs further filtering

Two options:

- 1. Decrease the p-value cutoff
- 2. Filter on magnitude of change

(both are a bit rubbish)

Visualising Differential Expression Results



Filter by p-value (fdr $< 10^{-20}$)

Filter by fold change (abs log2 change > 1.5)


Fold Change Shrinkage


- Aims to make the log2 Fold change a more useful value
- Tries to remove systematic biases
- Two types:
 - 1. Fold Change Shrinkage removes bias from both expression level and variance, produces a modified fold change

2. Intensity difference – removes bias from just expression level, produces a p-value

Fold Change Shrinkage


No Shrinkage






Result Validation (Can I believe the hits?)





Validation



2900097C17Rik RIKEN cDNA 2900097C17 gene

Hbb-b1 hemoglobin, beta adult major chain

Rps27a-ps2 ribosomal protein S27A, pseudogene 2

C230073G13Rik RIKEN cDNA C230073G13 gene

mt-Atp8 mitochondrially encoded ATP synthase 8

mt-Nd4l mitochondrially encoded NADH dehydrogenase

AC151712.4 erythroid differentiation regulator 1

Gm5641 predicted gene 5641

Data Exploration and Analysis Practical



Experimental Design for RNA-Seq



Practical Experiment Design

- What type of library?
- What type of sequencing?
- How many reads?
- How many replicates?

What type of library?

- Directional libraries if possible
 - Easier to spot contamination
 - No mixed signals from antisense transcription
 - May be difficult for low input samples
- mRNA vs total vs depletion etc.
 - Down to experimental questions
 - Remember LINC RNA may not have polyA tail
 - Active transcription vs standing mRNA pool

What type of sequencing

- Depends on your interest
 - Expression quantitation of known genes
 - 50bp single end is fine
 - Expression plus splice junction usage
 - 100bp (or longer if possible) single end
 - Novel transcript discovery or per transcript expression
 - 100bp paired end

How many reads

 Typically aim for 20 million reads for human / mouse sized genome

- More reads:
 - De-novo discovery
 - Low expressed transcripts

More replicates more useful than more reads

Replicates

- Compared to arrays, RNA-Seq is a very clean technical measure of expression
 - Generally don't run technical replicates
 - Must run biological replicates
- For clean systems (eg cell lines) 3x3 or 4x4 is common
- Higher numbers required as the system gets more variable
- Always plan for at least one sample to fail
- Randomise across sample groups

Power Analysis

- Power Analysis is not simple for RNA-Seq data
 - Not a single test one test per gene
 - Need to apply multiple testing correction
 - Each gene will have different power
 - Power correlates with observation level
 - Variations in variance per gene
- Several tools exist to automate power analysis
 - All require parameters which are difficult to estimate, and have dramatic effects on the outcome


Power Analysis

Yu et al. BMC Bioinformatics (2017) 18:234 DOI 10.1186/s12859-017-1648-2

BMC Bioinformatics

METHODOLOGY ARTICLE

Open Access





Lianbo Yu* , Soledad Fernandez† and Guy Brock†

Tools available


RnaSeqSampleSize https://cqs-vumc.shinyapps.io/rnaseqsamplesizeweb/


Scotty
 http://scotty.genetics.utah.edu/

- All require an estimate of count vs variance
 - Pilot data (if only!)
 - "Similar" studies

We are planning a RNA sequencing experiment to identify differential gene expression between two groups. Prior data indicates that the minimum average read counts among the prognostic genes in the control group is 500, the maximum dispersion is 0.1, and the ratio of the geometric mean of normalization factors is 1. Suppose that the total number of genes for testing is 10000 and the top 100 genes are prognostic. If the desired minimum fold change is 3, we will need to study 4 subjects in each group to be able to reject the null hypothesis that the population means of the two groups are equal with probability (power) 0.8 using exact test. The FDR associated with this test of this null hypothesis is 0.05.

Power Curves





Useful links

- FastQC http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/
- HiSat2 https://ccb.jhu.edu/software/hisat2/
- SeqMonk http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/seqmonk/
- Cufflinks http://cufflinks.cbcb.umd.edu/
- DESeq2 https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/DESeq2.html
- Bioconductor http://www.bioconductor.org/
- DupRadar http://sourceforge.net/projects/dupradar/